

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/478,825	ABECASSIS, MAX	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thai Tran	2616	

**All Participants:**

(1) Thai Tran.

(2) EUGENE M. CUMMINGS

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) DAVID M. MUNDT

(4) DAVID LESHT

Date of Interview: \_\_\_\_\_

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☐ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 U.S.C. 101 and 35 U.S.C. 112.

Claims discussed:

70-96

Prior art documents discussed:


none

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*the proposed amendment changing to "a segment code which prohibits" appears to overcome the 35 USC 101 rejection the supports for the claimed limitations have been shown in the specification.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/Supervising Examiner Signature)

  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)